

International Journal of Orthopaedics Sciences

E-ISSN: 2395-1958 P-ISSN: 2706-6630 IJOS 2022; 8(3): 310-316 © 2022 IJOS <u>www.orthopaper.com</u> Received: 20-06-2022 Accepted: 24-07-2022

Dr. Uday Chandran C

Department of Orthopaedics, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Bihar, India

Dr. Robin Kumar

Department of Orthopaedics, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Bihar, India

Dr. Kumar Vaibhav

Department of Orthopaedics, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Bihar, India

Dr. Kumar Anshuman Department of Orthopaedics, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Uday Chandran C Department of Orthopaedics, Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, Bihar, India

A comparative study to assess the clinical outcomes in osteoarthritis of the knee between intra-articular platelet rich plasma (PRP) injections vs intra-articular corticosteroid injections

Dr. Uday Chandran C, Dr. Robin Kumar, Dr. Kumar Vaibhav and Dr. Kumar Anshuman

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/ortho.2022.v8.i3e.3214

Abstract

Osteoarthritis (OA) is by far the most common form of joint disease throughout the world. It is strongly associated with age, and extremely common in older people, Some studies estimate that over 80% of people over 55 years of age have osteoarthritis of at least one joint. It mainly affects the hips, knees, spine, hands and feet. Hip and knee OA are the most important because of the high prevalence of pain and disability that they cause in older adults.

Methodology: Patients visiting the Department Of Orthopaedics, Narayan Medical College and Hospital that meet the inclusion criteria. Patient were be divided into 2 groups randomly (30 in each group).one group was administered intra- articular corticosteroid injection (1 ml of triamcinolone acetonide with 1 ml of 2% lignocaine without epinephrine). And the other group was administered intra-articular prp injection into the affected knee (5 ml of prp). Following treatment they were assessed using mcmaster universities arthritis index (womac) [56 visual analogue scale (vas), scoring systems which will be recorded through questionnaires prior to the injection to record baseline scores and post injection then at 6 weeks, 3, 6 and 12months follow-up.

Result: Significant difference was seen in mean WOMAC scores in Group A and Group B at 1 week, 4weeks, 8 weeks, 12 weeks and 12 months but no significant differences were observed at 6 months. Significant differences were seen in the VAS score for Group A and Group B subjects at 1 week, 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 12 weeks, 6 months and 12 months when compared using independent t test as p<0.05.

Conclusion: For a long duration relief of symptoms and the functional outcome, intraarticular PRP is better than Triamcinolone acetonide injections.

Keywords: Osteoarthritis, platelet rich plasma, intra-articular injection, triamcinolone acetonide, WOMAC scale, VAS scale

Introduction

As the average human lifespan increases, there is an increased chance of damage to the articular cartilages that causes pain. This in turn will contribute to a decrease in the quality of life that result in poor socioeconomic effects. Cartilage lesions can cause significant morbidity as articular cartilage tissues have limited healing potency ^[1]. Although hyaline cartilage is well known for its smooth surface and excellent ability to withstand huge amounts of pressure, the regenerative ability of cartilage tissue is poor with increasing age ^[2].

Osteoarthritis (OA) is by far the most common form of joint disease throughout the world. It is strongly associated with age, and extremely common in older people, Some studies estimate that over 80% of people over 55 years of age have osteoarthritis of at least one joint. It mainly affects the hips, knees, spine, hands and feet. Hip and knee OA are the most important because of the high prevalence of pain and disability that they cause in older adults ^[3].

In the past several decades, the major treatment for severe degenerative osteoarthritis (OA) has been to replace the articular surfaces. In cases of early OA, the major treatment option is a conservative therapy for pain reduction because there is nothing known to stop the progression of degeneration sequences. Although joint replacement treatment has developed significantly from technical point of view, it is still insignificant when viewed from a regenerative

perspective. Recently, new reparative methods, including platelet-rich plasma (PRP) treatment, to treat early OA and cartilage lesions are getting clinical attention.

PRP consists of a volume of plasma with a platelet concentration 2-6 times above baseline values that are obtained from the patient's own blood ^[4]. As such, PRP is safe from immune reaction and blood diseases because it is obtained from autologous blood and the application of PRP in the outpatient clinic is possible. In addition, it is cheap and effective, and no additional procedures are required ^[5].

Platelet-rich preparations containing a large pool of growth factors (GFs) and proteins stored in the alpha granules of platelets. These GFs and proteins have been implicated in tissue repairing mechanisms and have been found to take part in the regeneration of articular cartilage ^[6].

They are directed at stimulating repair and replacing damaged cartilage, which is incapable of repair, given its avascular, aneural and hypocellular nature. Various growth factors (platelet-derived growth factor, transforming growth factor beta, vascular endothelial growth factors), endostatins, platelet factor 4, angiopoietins, and thrombospondin 1 are secreted upon activation of platelets, and these are involved in the healing process ^[7].

Moreover, platelets have been identified to have analgesic properties by releasing protease-activated receptor 4 peptides [8].

However, PRP contains not only platelets but also plasma with fibrin and other growth factors that influence healing. The 'therapeutic dose' of PRP is considered at a range of at least 2–6 times higher than the normal platelet count ^[9].

Corticosteroids have both anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effect, but their mechanism of action is complex. Corticosteroids act directly on nuclear steroid receptors and interrupt the inflammatory and immune cascade at several levels. By this means, they reduce vascular permeability and inhibit accumulation of inflammatory cells, phagocytosis, production of neutrophil superoxide, metalloprotease, and metalloprotease activator, and prevent the synthesis and secretion of several inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandin and leukotrienes ^[10].

The clinical anti-inflammatory reflections of these actions are decreases in erythema, swelling, heat, and The clinical antiinflammatory reflections of these actions are decreases in erythema, swelling, heat, and tenderness of the inflamed joints and an increase in relative viscosity with an increase in hyaluronic acid (HA) concentration ^[11]. The initial recommended treatments for OA are the various non-pharmacological modalities (patient education, various self-management programmes, diet, and other therapies) and pharmacological therapies (involving non-opiate oral analgesics as well as the application of topical agents).

The use of intraarticular (IA) corticosteroid can be considered in patients that are unresponsive to these treatments, and is recommended when signs of local inflammation with joint effusion are present ^[12]. IA CS injections are frequently used to treat acute and chronic inflammatory conditions. Especially during the OA flare, when there is evidence of inflammation and joint effusion, CS injections decrease acute episodes of pain and increase joint mobility ^[13].

Therefore, in this study, we aimed to assess whether PRP is an effective treatment for knee OA, and compare its efficacy with corticosteroid treatment in terms of pain control, physical function, and quality of life.

Methodology

Type of study: The study was a randomized prospective study.

Source: Patients with symptomatic OA of the knees of age of 40 - 65 years, at Narayan Medical College and Hospital, Jamuhar, were the subjects of the study.

Sampling methods: The randomized prospective method was used in this study. Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Patients with symptomatic OA of the knees between the ages of 40 65 years.
- 2. Patients having severe pain without relief with antiinflammatory agents and physiotherapy even after 3 months.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients diagnosed with any form of arthritis except OA, concomitant severe hip OA were not included in the study.
- 2. A previous surgery on the knee
- 3. Blood disorders, systemic metabolic disorders, immunodeficiency, Hepatitis B or C, HIV positive status.
- 4. Local or systemic infection and ingestion of anti-platelet medications within 7 days prior to the injection

Sample size: 60 cases. 30 in each group

Duration of study: 2 years DECEMBER 2019 to NOVEMBER 2021

Patient was divided into 2 groups randomly (30 in each group).one group was administered intra-articular corticosteroid injection (1 ml of Triamcinolone Acetonide with 1ml of 2% Lignocaine without epinephrine). And the other group was administered intra-articular PRP injection into the affected knee (5 ml of PRP).

During the study, the patients received no other intra-articular injections or oral medications for the knee with the exception of acetaminophen on an 'as required' basis.

Patients were questioned regarding analgesic consumption and alternative treatments at each follow-up.

All patients will be advised physiotherapy, with the same protocol after the injection to improve quadriceps muscle strength and range of motion.

Pre-treatment Investigations:

Radiographic evaluation anteroposterior/lateral views of the knees.

Routine blood investigations were carried out before treatment, including complete blood count, profile ESR, RA Factor, Uric acid, Blood Urea, Creatinine, RBS and screening for transmittable diseases (HIV, HBsAg,HcV), ultrasound of the knee for synovial effusion.

WOMAC and VAS scores were recorded pre-treatment. PRP injection technique -

The procedure for collection of PRP was done under sterile condition using a double spin technique 30 ml of venous blood samples were collected, from every patient belonging to this group in sterilized sodium citrated tubes. The tubes with citrated blood were centrifuged at 1800 rpm for 15 min to separate erythrocytes, and at 3500 rpm for 10 min to concentrate platelets.

The PRP aspirated (5ml) into a syringe and intra-articular infiltration by a superolateral approach under sterile aseptic precautions.

Corticosteroid injection technique

Inj. Triamcinolone Acetonide 40 mg/ml along with 1ml of Lignocaine (2%) was injected using a 5cc syringe intra - articular infiltration by a superolateral approach using sterile aseptic precautions.

Post-treatment

After treatment, compression bandage was applied for 48hrs, along with local ice packs application for 20 mins, every 3-4 hours for 48 hours. Patients were allowed weight bearing, Vigorous activities of the knee was not recommended for 48 hrs. A follow up was done after 48 hrs and the compression bandage was removed.

Following treatment they were assessed using McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC), Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) (0 = no pain to 10 = worst possible pain), scoring systems which was recorded through questionnaires prior to the injection to record baseline scores and post injection then at 6 weeks, 3, 6 and 12 months follow-up.

Results

Data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21, IBM Inc. Descriptive data was reported for each variable. Descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables was calculated.

Summarized data was presented using Tables and Graphs. Shapiro Wilk test was used to check the normality of the data. As the data was found to be normally distributed bivariate analyses was performed using Independent t test. Chi square test was used for categorical data. Level of statistical significance was set at p-value less than 0.05 and was denoted as "S".

Table 1: Age wise distribution of patients

| A go in yoong | Gr | Group A | | oup B | Total | |
|----------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Age in years | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| 40-50 | 9 | 30.0 | 11 | 36.7 | 20 | 33.3 |
| 51-60 | 15 | 50.0 | 15 | 50.0 | 30 | 50.0 |
| 61-70 | 6 | 20.0 | 4 | 13.3 | 10 | 16.7 |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |
| Mean \pm SD | 55.03 | 3 ± 6.01 | 52.97 | 7 ± 6.54 | 54 | ± 6.3 |
| t-test value P-value | | $t = 1.2^{\circ}$ | 7 P | = 0.208 | NS | |



Fig 1: Age wise distribution of patients

NS= not significant, S=significant, HS=highly significant, VHS=very highly significant Study observes that, maximum number of patients in both the groups 15 (50.0%) belong to the age group of 51-60 followed by 40-50 years age group. But there was no statistical significant difference in mean age

between groups A and B when compared using Independent t test.

Table 2: Gender wise distribution of patients

| Condon | Gr | oup A | Gr | oup B | Total | | |
|--------------|------------|-------|----------------|---------|-------|-------|--|
| Gender | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | |
| Females | 16 | 53.3 | 17 | 56.7 | 33 | 55.0 | |
| Males | 14 | 46.7 | 13 | 43.3 | 27 | 45.0 | |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 | |
| X2-test valu | X2 = 0.795 | | | | | | |
| P-value | | | $\mathbf{P}=0$ | .500 NS | | | |

Study observes that, Female patients were more 16(53.3%) in groups A and 17(56.7%) in group B. But there was no statistical significant difference of gender between the groups A and B when compared using Chi square test.



Fig 2: Gender wise distribution of patients

| Diagnosia | Gra | oup A | Gra | oup B | Total | |
|------------------|--------------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| Diagnosis | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| OA of B/L knee | 12 | 40.0 | 13 | 43.3 | 25 | 41.7 |
| OA of Right Knee | 8 | 26.7 | 9 | 30.0 | 17 | 28.3 |
| OA of Left Knee | 10 | 33.3 | 8 | 26.7 | 18 | 30.0 |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |
| X2-test value | X2 = 0.321 | | | | | |
| P-value | P = 0.852 NS | | | | | |

 Table no 3: Diagnosis wise distribution of patients

Present study observes that, OA of B/L Knee diagnosed cases were more 25 (41.7 0%), OA of Right Knee and OA of Left Knee patients were 17 (28.3%) and 18 (30%) respectively. And there was no statistical significant difference of diagnosis between the groups A and B.



Fig 3: Diagnosis wise distribution of patients

International Journal of Orthopaedics Sciences

Table 4: Distribution of patients according to duration of symptoms

| Duration in | Group A | | Gr | oup B | Total | |
|----------------------|--|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| months | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| < 6 | 1.00 | 5 | 3 | 10.0 | 8 | 13.3 |
| 612 | 2.00 | 7 | 11 | 36.7 | 18 | 30.0 |
| 1224 | 3.00 | 18 | 13 | 43.3 | 31 | 51.7 |
| >24 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 10.0 | 3 | 5.0 |
| Total | 30 | 100 | 30 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |
| Mean \pm SD | 13.90 ± 6.20 14.33 ± 7.77 14.12 ± 6.99 | | | | | |
| t-test value P-value | t = -0.238 P = 0.813 NS | | | | | |

Study reveals that, 31 (51.7%) of patients had the 12- 24 months duration of symptoms. And there was no statistical significant difference of duration of symptoms in months between the groups A and B



Fig 4: Distribution of patients according to duration of symptoms

 Table 5: Distribution of patients according to clinical grading (K-L Grade)

| Clinical and ing | Group A | | Group B | | Total | |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Chincal grading | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Grade I | 10 | 33.3 | 10 | 33.3 | 20 | 33.3 |
| Grade II | 20 | 66.7 | 20 | 66.7 | 40 | 66.7 |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |
| V2 test value D value | X2 = 0.000 | | | | | |
| A2-lest value P-value | P = 0.608 NS | | | | | |

Study observes that, Grade I patients were less in the both the groups 10 (33.30%) and 10 (33.3%) respectively group A and B, Grade II patients were 20 (66.70%) and 20 66.7%) in the group A and B respectively, but there was no statistical significant difference of clinical grading between the groups A and B



Fig 5: Distribution of patients according to clinical grading (K-L Grade)

www.orthopaper.com

 Table 5: Distribution of patients according to clinical grading (K-L Grade)

| Clinical grading | Group A | | Group B | | Total | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Clinical grading | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Grade I | 10 | 33.3 | 10 | 33.3 | 20 | 33.3 |
| Grade II | 20 | 66.7 | 20 | 66.7 | 40 | 66.7 |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |
| X2-test value P-value | X2 = 0.000 P = 0.608 NS | | | | | |

Study observes that, Grade I patients were less in the both the groups 10 (33.30%) and 10 (33.3%) respectively group A and B, Grade II patients were 20 (66.70%) and 20 66.7%) in the group A and B respectively, but there was no statistical significant difference of clinical grading between the groups A and B



Fig 5: Distribution of patients according to clinical grading (K-L Grade)

| | WOMA | C scores | | D volue and | |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Time period | Group A | Group B | t– test value | F- value allu | |
| rine periou | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | | Significance | |
| Baseline | 60.03 ± 16.84 | 55.93 ± 17.89 | t = 0.914 | P = 0.365 NS | |
| 1 week | 53.20 ± 16.94 | 14.97 ± 7.07 | t = 11.40 | P = 0.0001 S | |
| 4 weeks | 48.63 ± 15.94 | 16.67 ± 6.89 | t = 10.07 | P = 0.0001 S | |
| 8 weeks | 44.13 ± 15.79 | 19.67 ± 8.21 | t = 7.52 | P = 0.0001 S | |
| 12 weeks | 38.87 ± 16.20 | 24.73 ± 11.14 | t = 3.93 | P = 0.0001 S | |
| 6 months | 31.10 ± 14.88 | 38.27 ± 16.69 | t = -1.75 | P = 0.085 N S | |
| 12 months | 29.57 ± 14.38 | 44.93 ± 18.28 | t=-3.61 | P = 0.0001 S | |

 Table 6: Comparison of mean WOMAC scores between the groups

 A and B

Significant difference was seen in mean WOMAC scores in Group A and Group B at 1 week, 4weeks, 8 weeks, 12 weeks and 12 months but no significant differences were observed at 6 months.



Fig 6: Comparison of mean WOMAC scores between the groups A and B

| | Group A | Group B | t tost volvo | D volue and Significance |
|-------------|------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Time period | Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | t– test value | F- value and Significance |
| Baseline | 6.70±1.368 | 6.10 ± 2.537 | t = 1.14 | P = 0.259 NS |
| 1 week | 6.33±1.241 | 2.80 ± 1.883 | t = 8.58 | P = 0.0001 S |
| 4 weeks | 5.77±1.135 | 2.87±1.676 | t = 7.84 | P = 0.0001 S |
| 8 weeks | 4.90±1.561 | 3.17±1.206 | t = 4.81 | P = 0.0001 S |
| 12 weeks | 3.80±1.562 | 3.80±1.472 | t = 0.000 | P = 1.000 NS |
| 6 months | 2.53±1.502 | 4.80 ± 1.648 | t = -5.56 | P = 0.0001 S |
| 12 months | 2.37±1.542 | 5.10 ± 1.668 | t=-6.59 | P = 0.0001 S |

Table 7: Comparison of mean VAS scores between the groups A and B

Significant differences were seen in the VAS score for Group A and Group B subjects at 1 week, 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 12 weeks, 6 months and 12 months when compared using Independent t test as p<0.05.



Fig 7: Comparison of mean VAS scores between the groups A and B

Discussion

Osteoarthritis, being the most common disease of the joints in the elderly, frequently affects the knee joint causing a major source of disability owing to pain and deformity leading to significant loss of function ^[14].

Current literature indicates that IA knee injection is a promising modality in managing pain associated with OA knee. It is a well tolerated, minimally invasive intervention, especially in patients with co-morbidities, who neither have the fitness for the surgery nor able to tolerate oral analgesics for a long-term period. Various IA injectables like corticosteroids, infliximab, hyaluronic acid, botulinum neurotoxin, PRP, and even stem cells are being used in the management of knee OA ^[15].

Last few years, there is growing interest in exploring PRP as a treatment modality for OA knee. The platelet concentrate in PRP when activated results in the formation of platelet gel and the release of growth factors and bioactive molecules which effectively participate in the healing process.¹⁶ Platelets contain significant amounts of cytokines and growth factors and are responsible for stimulating cellular growth, vascularization, proliferation, tissue regeneration, and collagen synthesis. A regenerative therapy that is believed to promote healing by augmenting and accelerating the natural healing cascade. The Injection of PRP to treat OA of the knee can be considered a relatively new therapeutic indication ^[16].

PRP may be prepared by single spin or double spin technique. Studies suggest no clear advantage of double-spin technique over single-spin technique or vice-versa. A 2-stage centrifugation process/double spin technique in which the first (hard) spin separates low-platelet concentrated plasma from RBC and PRP. In the second (soft) spin, this mixture or RBC and PRP is separated and the PRP is collected at the bottom of the test tube because of its high specific gravity ^[17]. PRP as a treatment modality in the OA knee. Sánchez *et al.* were the first to describe the IA injection of plasma rich in growth factors for treating articular cartilage avulsion in a soccer player. The studies by Sampson *et al.* ^[18] Kon *et al.* ^[19] reported a favorable outcome with IA injections of PRP in most of the OA knee patients.

Traditionally IA steroids are used for OA knee pain. Steroids act on nuclear steroid receptors and heckle the inflammatory and immune cascade at several levels. Among the steroids, triamcinolone acetonide is one of the most commonly used drugs for IA injections. The studies by so many authors like, Chao *et al* ^[20] Beyaz *et al*, ^[22] have used triamcinolone acetonide as the steroid drug in the dose of 40 mg for IA injections in OA knee. The same was followed in our study.

The efficacy of intraarticular corticosteroid injection in knee OA has been confirmed in a Cochrane review done in 2006, and in a systematic review by Hepper *et al* ^[23] and metaanalysis by Bannuru *et al* ^[24] Chao J *et al* ^[20] found IA corticosteroids to be superior to placebo on WOMAC scores at four weeks.

This study was directed to assess the clinical implication of intraarticular injection of PRP and Triamcinolone acetonide in mild and moderate knee osteoarthritis and to compare the Clinical efficacy of Intra-articular injections of PRP and Triamcinolone acetonide using Visual Numeric Scale and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC) scores.

Case illustrations Platelet rich plasma injection



Blood drawing under sterile precautions.



PRP



PRP is taken in syringe for injection



Knee painted with betadine, wiped with sterile spirit swab and draped with hole towel.



Injection of PRP into the knee joint under aseptic precautions.

Corticosteroid Injection



Knee painted with betadine, wiped with sterile spirit swab and draped with hole towel.



Injection of Corticosteroid injection into the knee joint under aseptic precautions.

Conclusion

The present study conducted is to evaluate and compare the functional outcome of patients with Kellegren Lawrence grade I and II osteoarthritis knee treated with a single intraarticular injection of platelet rich plasma and Triamcinolone acetonide.

Therefore single dose, intra-articular PRP injection is superior to that of Triamcinolone Acetonide. PRP holds a promising solution in the management in OA knee in the present state of knowledge. Though PRP has consistently been shown to be superior to other intra-articular agents. Our findings have shown that intraarticular PRP injections are more safe and effective treatment than intraarticular Triamcinolone Acetonide in 6 months follow-up study. Intraarticular steroid relieves knee pain rapidly up to 2 months and effect wears off in 6 month follow up. While effect of intra articular prp lasts longer on 6 month follow up.

So, for a long duration relief of symptoms and the functional outcome, intraarticular PRP is better than Triamcinolone acetonide injections.

References

- Buckwalter JA, Mankin HJ. Articular cartilage: degeneration and osteoarthrosis, repair, regeneration, and transplantation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1997;79A(4):612-632
- Tran-Khanh N, Hoemann CD, McKee MD, Henderson JE, Buschmann MD. Aged bovine chondrocytes display a diminished capacity to produce a collagen-rich, mechanically functional cartilage extracellular matrix. J Orthop Res. 2005;23:1354-1362
- Paul Dieppe, Ashley Blom Osteoarthritis in: Blom, Ashley, editor. Apley- Solomon's System of Orthopaedics and Trauma 10th edition Taylor & Francis Group ken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL; c2018. p. 91
- 4. Pietrzak WS, Eppley BL. Platelet rich plasma: biology and new technology. J Craniofac Surg. 2007;16(6):1043-1054.
- 5. Frisbie DD, Kawcak CE, Werpy NM, Park RD, McIlwraith CW. Clinical, biochemical, and histologic effects of intraarticular administration of autologous conditioned serum in horses with experimentally induced osteoarthritis. Am J Vet Res. 2007;68:290-296.
- Ulrich-Vinther M, Maloney MD, Schwarz EM, Rosier R, O'Keefe RJ. Articular cartilage biology. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2003;11:421-430 (Review)
- 7. Anitua E, Andia I, Ardanza B, Nurden P, Nurden AT. Autologous platelets as a source of proteins for healing and tissue regeneration. Thromb Haemost. 2004;91:4-15 (Review)
- Asfaha S, Cenac N, Houle S, Altier C, Papez MD, Nguyen C, *et al.* Protease-activated receptor-4: a novel mechanism of inflammatory pain modulation. Br J Pharmacol. 2007;150:176-185
- Everts PA, Knape JT, Weibrich G, Scho
 "nberger JP, Hoffmann J, Verdevest EP, *et al.* Platelet-rich plasma and platelet gel: A review. J Extra Corpor Technol. 2006;38:174-187 (Review)
- Ostergaard M, Halberg P. Intra-articular corticosteroids in arthritic disease: a guide to treatment. Bio Drugs. 1998;9:95-103 [PMID: 18020548]
- Jessar RA, Ganzell MA, Ragan C. The action of hydrocortisone in synovial inflammation. J Clin Invest 1953;32:480-482 [PMID: 13052711]

- American College of Rheumatology Subcommittee on Osteoarthritis Guidelines. Recommendations for the Medical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hip and Knee; c2000. http://www.rheumatology.org/ practice/clinical/guidelines/oa-mgmt.asp (accessed 21 January 2012).
- Rozental TD, Sculco TP. Intra-articular corticosteroids: an updated overview. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). 2000;29:18-23 [PMID: 10647515]
- Dhillon MS, Patel S, John R. PRP in OA knee–update, current confusions and future options. SICOT-J; c2017. p. 3.
- Hepper CT, Halvorson JJ, Duncan ST *et al.* The efficacy and duration of intra- articular corticosteroid injection for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of level 1 studies. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2009;17:638–46.
- 16. Lozada JL, Caplanis N, Proussaefs P, *et al.* Platelet-rich plasma application in sinus graft surgery. I. Background and processing techniques. J Oral Implantol. 2001;27:38-42.
- 17. Arora NS, Ramanayake T, Ren YF, Romanos GE. Platelet-rich plasma: A literaturereview. Implant Dent. 2009;18(4):303-10.
- Sampson S, Reed M, Silvers H, Meng M, Mandelbaum B. Injection of platelet rich plasma in patients with primary and secondary knee osteoarthritis: a pilot study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;89(12):961-969
- 19. Kon E, Buda R, Filardo G, Di Martino A, Timoncini A, Cenacchi A, *et al.* Platelet- rich plasma: intra-articular knee injections produced favorable results on degenerative cartilage lesions. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2010;18(4):472-479.
- 20. Chao J, Wu C, Sun B, *et al*: Inflammatory characteristics on ultrasound predict poorer longterm response to intraarticular corticosteroid injections in knee osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol. 2010;37(3):650-655.
- 21. Beyaz SG. Comparison of efficacy of intra-articular morphine and steroid in patients with knee osteoarthritis J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2012 Oct;28(4):496-500.
- 22. Hepper CT, Halvorson JJ, Duncan ST, Gregory AJ, Dunn WR, Spindler KP. The Efficacy and Duration of Intra-articular Corticosteroid Injection for Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review of Level I Studies. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2009;17(10):638-646.
- Garg N, Perry L, Deodhar A. Intra-articular and soft tissue injections, a systematic review of relative efficacy of various corticosteroids. Clin Rheumatol. 2014;33:1695-1706.